Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect







journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jbiotec

# Maximizing biomass productivity and cell density of *Chlorella vulgaris* by using light-emitting diode-based photobioreactor

Weiqi Fu<sup>a</sup>, Olafur Gudmundsson<sup>a</sup>, Adam M. Feist<sup>b</sup>, Gisli Herjolfsson<sup>a</sup>, Sigurdur Brynjolfsson<sup>a,\*</sup>, Bernhard Ø. Palsson<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Center for Systems Biology, University of Iceland, 101 Reykjavik, Iceland
<sup>b</sup> Department of Bioengineering, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0412, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 3 April 2012 Received in revised form 3 July 2012 Accepted 6 July 2012 Available online 14 July 2012

Keywords: Chlorella vulgaris LED-based photobioreactor Adaptive laboratory evolution Biomass productivity Biomass yield

#### ABSTRACT

Green microalgae have recently drawn attention as promising organisms for biofuel production; however, the question is whether they can grow sufficient biomass relative to limiting input factors to be economically feasible. We have explored this question by determining how much biomass the green microalga *Chlorella vulgaris* can produce in photobioreactors based on highly efficient light-emitting diodes (LEDs). First, growth results were improved under the less expensive light of 660 nm LEDs, developing them in the laboratory to meet the performance levels of the traditional but more expensive 680 nm LEDs by adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE). We then optimized several other key parameters, including input superficial gas velocity, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, light distribution, and growth media in reference to nutrient stoichiometry. Biomass density thereby rose to approximately 20 g dry-cell-weight (gDCW) per liter (L). Since the light supply was recognized as a limiting factor, illumination was augmented by optimization at systematic level, providing for a biomass productivity of up to 2.11 gDCW/L/day, with a light yield of 0.81 gDCW/Einstein. These figures, which represent the best results ever reported, point to new dimensions in the photoautotrophic performance of microalgal cultures.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

The expanding world population is creating a demand for alternative sources to petro-chemically derived products. Photosynthetic microalgae represent such an alternative, as they can utilize either solar energy or artificial light to incorporate CO<sub>2</sub> into biomass compounds. Thus, great interest has developed in biofuel production (Brennan and Owende, 2010; Chisti, 2008; Sheridan, 2009; Vertes et al., 2006; Wijffels and Barbosa, 2010) through microalgae, as well as in their potential for the biofixation of CO<sub>2</sub> and production of commodities (Sydney et al., 2010). Nonetheless, despite the substantial funds and work which have been invested towards developing algal-derived biofuels in recent years (Gardner et al., 2011; Sheridan, 2009; Tang et al., 2011; Vertes et al., 2006), the economic viability of microalgal bioprocesses remains constrained by physical and thermodynamic factors. Processing costs have proved too high and there have been problems with the photosynthetic efficiency (i.e., productivity) of high-density algal cultures. Determining the limits of potential performance is therefore crucial for progress in algal biotechnology.

Photosynthetically grown microalgae are currently used commercially in foods and feeds (Kay, 1991; Lee et al., 2010) as well as in the production of bioactive substances (Lorenz and Cysewski, 2003: Pulz and Gross. 2004). Chlorella vulgaris is one of the most widely cultivated microalgae, based on ease and experience of culture and as its chemical composition is favorable for enhancing the nutritional value of conventional foodstuffs (Kay, 1991; Lee et al., 2010). Biomass productivity is a key limit to the economic feasibility of converting CO<sub>2</sub> directly to C. vulgaris biomass in practical use. In outdoor systems (Ugwu et al., 2008), for instance in open ponds or enclosed flat-plate photobioreactors (PBRs), vast areas of land are required for large-scale algae cultivation. To discover alternative modes for cultivating algae, various designs and scales of vertical-column PBRs (Park and Lee, 2001; Suh and Lee, 2003; Ugwu et al., 2008) and panel PBRs (Cuaresma et al., 2009) have been tested. While indoor PBRs have been tried by researchers worldwide, their volumetric biomass productivity has remained relatively low, thereby reducing the business promise of a return on production.

This clarifies the need to develop cost-effective PBRs with high algal biomass productivities and low production costs. Lightemitting diode (LED) technology has been used for decades and has the advantages of being highly efficient and reliable, with a long lifetime and low power consumption, when compared to other

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +354 525 4641; fax: +354 525 4632. *E-mail address:* sb@hi.is (S. Brynjolfsson).

<sup>0168-1656/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.07.004

artificial light sources (Yam and Hassan, 2005). The progressive LED technology that is currently emerging has the potential of achieving high conversion efficiency from electricity to light, while providing a narrow spectrum of target wavelengths (Yam and Hassan, 2005). The application of LEDs in PBRs marks a great advance over existing indoor agricultural lighting (Yeh and Chung, 2009). For algal cultures, LEDs allow the grower to control spectral output and adjust the light intensity and frequency in optimizing illumination. Furthermore, LEDs have been established in PBR design since the early 1990s (Lee and Palsson, 1994), though to date they have proven prohibitively expensive. With current and future advances in LED technology, however, LED-based PBRs may soon become practical for producing algal biomass.

We thus set out to examine the optimal relations of biomass productivity and light capture efficiency that can be achieved within the bounds of current LED technology. These performance metrics are essential to assessing the economic feasibility of such a production mode.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Algal strain and culture conditions

C. vulgaris (UTEX 26, UTEX Culture Collection of Algae) was cultivated using varying CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in air at  $25 \pm 2$  °C. The experiments were mainly conducted in bubble column PBRs that were all equipped with LEDs and had working volumes of  $300 \pm 5 \text{ ml}$  (PBR dimensions: height,  $\sim 30 \text{ cm}$ ; diameter,  $\sim 4 \text{ cm}$ ) and  $500 \pm 5$  ml (PBR dimensions: height, ~60 cm; diameter, ~4 cm). The PBRs utilized in our experiments were the original models bought (Kimble Bomex Glass Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), unless specifically stated otherwise. Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) (Palsson, 2011) was conducted by means of a semi-continuous culture system. For ALE, specifically, the cell density in PBRs was kept same at the beginning of each cycle by removal of part of the culture and replacement of fresh medium and the duration of each cycle was three days, unless specifically stated otherwise. The incident average light intensity was 255  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s at a 30% duty cycle for ALE, CO<sub>2</sub>-dependent growth and optimal growth of C. vulgaris. For other growth experiments, different average light intensity conditions were provided.

#### 2.2. Media and reagents

The modified M-8 (Mandalam and Palsson, 1998) and K-8 media were prepared according to the composition described (Table S1). All of the chemicals were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich, and the redesign principal was mainly based on stoichiometric medium formulation. The basic values (Mandalam and Palsson, 1998) for calculating the elemental composition of *Chlorella* (by weight) were as follows: 6.2–7.7% N, 1.0–2.0% P, 0.85–1.62% K, 0.36–0.80% Mg, 0.28–0.39% S, 0.04–0.55% Fe, 0.005–0.08% Ca, 0.002–0.01% Mn, 0.001–0.004% Cu, 0.0006–0.005% Zn. The biomass capacity of M-8 and K-8 media was predicted stoichiometrically (Table S2). The culture pH under K-8 medium was kept stable for short-term cultivation (one day culture or three days culture), at levels between 6.0 and 6.2, mainly by the phosphate buffer system in the medium.

#### 2.3. Artificial light supply and setup

Inexpensive super-red LED arrays (Part number: SSL-LX5093SRC; operating voltage and current are 1.7 V and 20 mA, respectively) with high efficiency and a narrow output spectrum at the peak wavelength of 660 nm (20 nm bandwidth at half peak height) were purchased from LUMEX Inc. (Taiwan, China). The intensity of light supplied to the PBRs was measured using a

quantum sensor (SR. NO. Q40526 of QUANTUM, Model LI-1400, LI-COR biosciences, Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.A.) on the inner surface of each PBR. The experiments were performed with flashing light at different duty cycles but at the same frequency (10 kHz) since it was reported that flashing light enhanced microalgal biomass productivity and photosynthetic efficiency (Park and Lee, 2000). Specifically, each piece of LED panel containing 48 LED was divided into 12 sub-circuits where each sub-circuit consists of 4 LED, a 50 ohm resistor and a BC846AW NPN transistor (Diodes Inc., Plano, Texas, U.S.A.), all connected in series. The current flow through the sub-circuit was controlled by applying a square wave signal to the transistor base terminal. A PIC18f26k20 microcontroller (Microchip Technology Inc., Chandler, Arizona, U.S.A.), was programmed to generate a pulse width modulated signal of the desired frequency and duty cycle. A certain average light intensity could be provided by the specific duty cycle and peak light intensity. For example, a 10% duty cycle with a 10 kHz frequency means that the light was on for only 10% of the duration of one on/off cycle (0.1 ms).

#### 2.4. Biomass determination

Samples were collected every 24 h. Optical density was measured spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 600 nm. The biomass concentration was determined by correlating the optical density (OD600) with the dry weight. The variances in chlorophyll content have little effect on the correlation coefficient since chlorophylls in *Chlorella* cells have little absorption at 600 nm. More precisely, the relationship between biomass concentration and optical density was as follows:

$$C_{\rm b}({\rm g/L}) = 0.420D_{600} \quad (R^2 = 0.99)$$
 (1)

where  $C_{\rm b}$  is the biomass concentration and OD<sub>600</sub> is measured in the range between 0.1 and 1.0 by diluting cell samples. The samples were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm or 1771 g, for 10 min by Eppendorf Centrifuge 5810R (Eppendorf Co., Ltd., Hamburg, Germany), washed with deionized water twice and dried overnight at 80 °C.

#### 2.5. Chlorophyll content measurements

The spectrometer (Biomate 3) used for both basic absorbance measurements and the survey scan was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. (Madison, U.S.A). Chlorophyll was extracted from the samples by using 90% methanol, with which they were diluted 50–100 times, depending on cell concentration. Freshly prepared vials containing the samples were bathed at 50 °C for 50 min and then centrifuged at 12,000 g for 5 min. Supernatant absorbance was measured at 650 and 665 nm, respectively. The content of chlorophyll a and b as well as the total chlorophyll content (mg/L) was then calculated using the following equations (Becker, 1994):

Chlorophyll $a = 16.5 \times A_{665} - 8.3 \times A_{650}$	(2	2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>ر</u>	

Chlorophyll $b =$	$33.8 \times A_{650}$ -	$12.5 \times A_{665}$	(	3
		. 000		

$$Chlorophyll(a+b) = 25.5 \times A_{650} + 4.0 \times A_{665}$$
(4)

#### 2.6. Calculation of biomass yield on light

The biomass yield in relation to light (quantum/light yield) is defined as the amount of biomass generated per unit of radiance absorbed by the algal culture. The equation for calculating the yield on light ( $\psi_E$ ) was expressed as follows:

$$\Psi_E = \frac{P_{\rm b}}{F_{\rm vol}} \tag{5}$$

where  $P_b$  stands for the volumetric biomass productivity and  $F_{vol}$  for the incident photon flux absorbed per unit volume (Molina-Grima et al., 1997). The working volume for a specific bubble column photobioreactor measuring H = 30 cm, D = 4 cm was  $300 \pm 5$  ml. The incident photon flux absorbed per unit volume ( $F_{vol}$ ) was calculated by the following equation:

$$F_{\rm vol} = \frac{I_0 \times S_0}{V_0} \tag{6}$$

where  $I_0$  stands for incident light intensity on the culture surface,  $V_0$  for the working volume and  $S_0$  for the surface area of culture (here is 300 cm<sup>2</sup>). Eq. (6) was only valid for high density culture in this study. The initial biomass concentration for all experiments conditions was relatively high ( $A_{660 \text{ nm}} > 1.0$ ) so that the light scattering and transmission was negligible. It was assumed that the supplied light measured on the inner surface of PBRs was all absorbed by *C. vulgaris* cells during batch culture. Finally, we considered the average volumetric growth rate to be equivalent to the volumetric biomass productivity,  $P_{\rm b}$ .

# 2.7. Calculation of pneumatic power input per unit volume in photobioreactors (bubble columns)

The equation (Chisti and Moo-Young, 1989) for calculating the specific pneumatic power input in bubble columns was expressed as follows:

$$\frac{P_{\rm G}}{V_{\rm L}} = \rho_{\rm L} g U_{\rm G} \tag{7}$$

where  $\rho_L$  stands for liquid intensity, g for gravitational acceleration,  $U_G$  for the superficial gas velocity,  $P_G$  for power input due to gas and  $V_L$  for liquid volume in the photobioreator.

#### 3. Results

To determine the maximum *C. vulgaris* growth rates that can be achieved with LED-based PBRs, various key variables were examined for their effect on volumetric biomass productivity. These variables included: (i) ALE, (ii) media composition, (iii) the superficial velocity of feed gas and its effect on mixing, (iv) CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in the feed gas and (v) factors of light delivery. The effect of each variable will now be explained and discussed.

#### 3.1. Adaptive laboratory evolution and media redesign

The red LED (674 nm) achieved the greatest efficiency in regard to light, i.e., it required the least photon flux to stimulate maximum growth in the green microalga Chlamydomonas reihardtii (Chang et al., 2011). Previously, optimal growth of C. vulgaris had been reported at 680 nm (Lee and Palsson, 1994); however, the most efficient and inexpensive red LEDs emit a shorter wavelength than either of those just mentioned, or 660 nm based on the (Al,Ga) InP system (Krames et al., 2007). ALE has been studied and used to adapt microbial strains to environmental stress (Palsson, 2011). The basic process of ALE was schematically shown (Fig. 1A). We thus began by using ALE to improve C. vulgaris growth in LED-based PBRs (Fig. 1B and C) that had a narrow spectrum peaking at the wavelength of 660 nm (see Methods). At this stage in our experiments, C. vulgaris was being cultivated in modified M-8 medium at a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 9.5% (v/v) and an input gas flow rate of 45 cm<sup>3</sup>/min (corresponding to a superficial velocity of 0.06 cm/s, calculated in the conventional way by dividing the gas flow rate with the cross-sectional area of the PBR; the specific pneumatic power input was 5.9 W/m<sup>3</sup>). By applying the ALE process (see Methods and Fig. 1A), the culture's average growth rate and biomass yield were increased gradually over the course of 15 cycles (Fig. 1B) from 0.71 gDCW/L/day

to 1.03 gDCW/L/day and from 0.32 gDCW/E to 0.47 gDCW/E (Fig. S1), respectively. Thus, the endpoint growth rate that was finally achieved with the 660 nm LEDs was 1.45 times higher than the initial rate (i.e., performance in the baseline strain), and the cultures had attained a biomass concentration of 5.2 gDCW/L within three days (Fig. S1). At this stage, the nutrients could be limiting factors in this culture since its biomass concentration achieved (5.2 gDCW/L) got close to the predicted biomass capacity of M-8 medium.

Following this initial ALE trial, the M-8 medium was re-designed into a K-8 medium with the predicted capacity of generating 10 gDCW/L of biomass, based on a stoichiometric elemental composition analysis of C. vulgaris (see Table S1). In the K-8 medium, ALE was then continued with C. vulgaris under 660 nm light and 5.0% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> gas introduced at a superficial velocity of 0.18 cm/s (135 cm<sup>3</sup>/min), see Fig. 1C. During the next 10–12 cycles of ALE, the average growth rate changed in accordance with the chlorophyll content of the cells (Fig. 1C). Specifically, C. vulgaris grew faster during the first 4 cycles and the chlorophyll content increased. During the fifth cycle in the K-8 medium, C. vulgaris was cultivated without any FeSO<sub>4</sub>, in order to test the dependence of chlorophyll on the presence of iron in the medium. After most of the iron was removed, both the cell chlorophyll content and the average growth rate decreased significantly. This shows that the growth rate of C. vulgaris depends on iron in ALE, and also shows the necessity of a big amount of iron for synthesizing chlorophyll (Maier and Cattani, 1965; Bibby et al., 2001) in high density culture. When FeSO<sub>4</sub> was restored to the medium, the cells' average growth rate increased continuously through ALE, while their chlorophyll content remained relatively stable even after 12 cycles. Given media optimization, ALE thus achieved an overall growth rate of 1.75 gDCW/L/day and 0.79 gDCW/E, which means a 2.5-fold increase in growth rate and biomass yield compared to the starting performance (Fig. S1). The initial and endpoint growth profiles of C. vulgaris were also characterized in reference to an entire growth cycle; for comparison, these profiles are presented as curves in Fig. S2.

Through the ALE process, *C. vulgaris* had thus evolved to grow under less expensive and more efficient LEDs, i.e., under 660 nm light instead of 680 nm light. Moreover, the chlorophyll content of adapted *C. vulgaris* displayed the same absorption maximum/peak of 682 nm as the baseline starting strain (the baseline samples were derived from the original *C. vulgaris* that had been cultivated using fluorescent lamps). Also, the chlorophyll extracted in 90% (v/v) methanol from the endpoint and baseline *C. vulgaris* strains had in both cases an absorption maximum/peak of 666 nm, according to a full wavelength scan. On the other hand, the ratio of chlorophyll *a–b* did change slightly in the course of ALE (Fig. 1C).

# 3.2. Varying superficial velocities of the input gas at high $\mathrm{CO}_2$ levels

An average growth rate of 1.75 gDCW/L/day was achieved by an endpoint *C. vulgaris* strain after 114 days of ALE, when it had evolved through a total of 38 cycles (Fig. 1C). Since this growth rate remained the same throughout a further 4 cycles of ALE (Fig. S3), we hypothesized that there might be other constraints on algae performance. We thus studied the effect of varying input gas conditions (Fig. 2). In these experiments, we utilized the *C. vulgaris* strain from the ALE endpoint. The photorespiration was negligible since the provided input CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was at high levels (2.5%, 5.0% and 9.5%). Increasing the levels of CO<sub>2</sub> in a range of 2.5-9.5% (v/v) in the input gas had little impact on the growth rate (Fig. 2). Increasing the superficial gas velocity in a range between 0.06 cm/s and 0.24 cm/s (corresponding to the specific pneumatic power inputs of  $5.9 \text{ W/m}^3$  and  $23.5 \text{ W/m}^3$ , separately) at all these three levels of input CO<sub>2</sub>, however, raised growth performances



**Fig. 1.** Adaptive laboratory evolution (ALE) of *C. vulgaris* through 38 cycles (114 days). (A) Schematic process of ALE. (B) Adaptation of *C. vulgaris* to 660 nm light, modifying the M-8 medium by threefold increases in phosphate content. The input gas had a superficial velocity of 0.06 cm/s and a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 9.5% (v/v). Each batch of cells was cultivated from an initial density of around 2.1 gDCW/L for three days. (C) Continued adaptation of *C. vulgaris* in a different modified medium, specifying chlorophyll content. K-8 medium was used with an input gas flow of 0.18 cm/s and a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 5.0% (v/v). Each batch of cells was cultivated from an initial density of around 0.84 gDCW/L for three days. (C) intere days. (C) Continued adaptation of *C. vulgaris* in a different modified medium, specifying chlorophyll content. K-8 medium was used with an input gas flow of 0.18 cm/s and a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 5.0% (v/v). Each batch of cells was cultivated from an initial density of around 0.84 gDCW/L for three days. (In order to determine the iron-dependence of *C. vulgaris* growth. While overall adaptation resulted in a 2.5-fold increase in growth, *C. vulgaris* was demonstrated to be iron-dependent. The results presented are values averaged from either two (B) or three (C) independent experiments. Error bars indicate SD.



**Fig. 2.** Effect of varied input gas conditions on growth rates in adapted *C. vulgaris*. The average incident light intensity was 255  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s, at a 30% duty cycle. The growth rate represents the average volumetric growth rate for 3-day cultures initiated from a biomass concentration of approximately 0.84 gDCW/L. The superficial velocity of the input gas was set at 0.06, 0.12, 0.18, 0.24 and 0.30 cm/s, with varying concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. Growth rate was normalized to a growth rate of 1.61 gDCW/L/day, determined by growing *C. vulgaris* in air with a 0.18 cm/s superficial velocity, 5.0% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, 255  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s average light intensity, and 30% duty cycle. The results are averaged from two independent experiments. Error bars indicate SD.

dramatically (Fig. 2). At 0.24 cm/s and 5.0% (v/v), the growth rate climaxed at 1.94 gDCW/L/day with a corresponding biomass yield of 0.88 gDCW/E. This result prompted us to test an even higher superficial gas velocity, 0.30 cm/s (corresponding to a specific pneumatic power input of  $29.4 \text{ W/m}^3$ ) at 5.0% (v/v), but this did not increase growth rate or biomass yield, with these remaining nearly the same as at a superficial gas velocity of 0.24 cm/s (corresponding to a specific pneumatic power input of  $23.5 \text{ W/m}^3$ ) and 5.0% (v/v) (Fig. S4). Under these conditions, the optimal superficial gas velocity and the specific pneumatic power input had thereby been determined, with performance failing to improve by increasing this velocity (specific pneumatic power input), presumably due to cell fragility (Gudin and Chaumont, 1991) and shear stress (Michels et al., 2010).

The results from increasing the superficial gas velocity suggested that the growth rate of *C. vulgaris* could benefit from the equal and balanced distribution of incident light. A balanced distribution might be achieved through the intensified culture mixing that would occur through an increased gas flow rate, because this would reduce the mixing time (Rubio et al., 2004). The above results also indicated that the growth of *C. vulgaris* was light-limited rather than CO<sub>2</sub>-limited at an input CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 2.5% (v/v). By means of this analysis, the optimal input gas conditions were determined to be a superficial gas velocity of 0.24 cm/s (corresponding to a specific pneumatic power input of  $23.5 \text{ W/m}^3$ ) at a 2.5% (v/v) input CO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

The effect of medium composition was then re-examined at the higher superficial gas velocity in order to determine whether higher cell densities could be achieved. The redesigned K-8 medium (as



**Fig. 3.**  $CO_2$ -dependent growth and optimal growth of *C. vulgaris*. The input gas superficial velocity was 0.24 cm/s. Initial cell density was around 0.42 gDCW/L, placed in the regime of one day per batch. (A) Effect of  $CO_2$  concentrations on the volumetric growth rate and specific growth rate of batch cultures. One batch of *C. vulgaris* was initially adapted to a specific  $CO_2$  concentration. The effects of each of the  $CO_2$  concentrations in the graph were studied by subjecting a different sample from the initial batch to that particular  $CO_2$  concentration to allow for meaningful comparisons of  $CO_2$  concentration impact. (B) Growth of *C. vulgaris* in semi-continuous culture at an input  $CO_2$  level of 2.5% (v/v). The points above the line indicate instances of optimal growth under this condition. The results presented are values averaged from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate SD.

described above) achieved around 12 gDCW/L of biomass within 9 days of culture (Fig. S5). We further developed the K-8 medium into a K-9 medium by increasing KNO<sub>3</sub> and MgSO<sub>4</sub> two- and fivefold, respectively. The new K-9 medium produced even higher biomass concentrations than K-8, and continued its biomass increases to 20 gDCW/L after 15 days of growth, when measurements were hindered by culture sticking (Fig. S5). The increases in biomass concentration were due to the growing time added by the extra nutrients, which permitted growth for 15 days compared to 9 days. These results show that sufficient nutrients in a defined medium can support a high-density biomass concentration.

#### 3.3. CO<sub>2</sub>-dependent growth

The CO<sub>2</sub> delivery rate was further examined to determine its effect on algal growth. The results presented above indicated that a 2.5% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was sufficient for *Chlorella* growth and that increasing the concentration within the range of 2.5–9.5% (v/v) had little effect on growth rates (Fig. 2).

To determine a quantitative relationship between specific growth rate and the input  $CO_2$  level, we needed to create conditions where  $CO_2$  was the sole limiting factor for growth. Therefore, *C. vulgaris* growth was observed at a variety of lower input  $CO_2$  concentrations (Fig. 3A), as applied to a relatively low-density culture (with biomass concentrations of 0.4–2.0 gDCW/L). Under these conditions, which included a K-8 medium and a superficial gas velocity of 0.24 cm/s, the light supply was assumed to be ample, rendering input  $CO_2$  as the single limiting factor for growth. Using equilibrium  $CO_2$  concentrations in the media, we obtained the following Monod growth equation for *C. vulgaris* (see details in Supplementary calculations):

$$\mu = \frac{1.68S_{\rm CO_2}}{6.56 + S_{\rm CO_2}} \tag{8}$$

where  $\mu$  is the specific growth rate and *S* is the equilibrium concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> ([CO<sub>2</sub>]<sup>\*</sup>), and where  $K_{S_{CO_2}}$  is 6.56 mg/L and  $\mu_{max}$  is 1.68 day<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Using the above equation, the relevant specific growth rate was calculated to be  $1.39 \text{ day}^{-1}$  (comparable to the value of  $\mu_{\text{max}}$ ). A

further significant aspect was that the doubling time of *C. vulgaris*, judging by the batch culture in our study, was around 12 h at exponential phase (Fig. S2), which was in accordance with the doubling times of *C. vulgaris* in previous studies (Griffiths and Harrison, 2009). Based on this analysis, we hypothesized that *C. vulgaris* growth rates could be regarded as optimal whenever they exceeded 1.39 day<sup>-1</sup>.

Having developed these optimality criteria, we analyzed culture data concerning a semi-continuous culture of *C. vulgaris*. In terms of specific growth rate, we found that a 2.5% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> input at a superficial gas velocity of 0.24 cm/s, an 850  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s peak light intensity and a 30% duty cycle of flashing light led to optimal *C. vulgaris* growth in semi-continuous culture (Fig. 3B). These values indicate that a 2.5% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> input is capable of supporting optimal *C. vulgaris* growth when coupled with an average light intensity of 255  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s based on an 850  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s peak light intensity and a 30% duty cycle, applied to a semi-continuous regime in relatively low-density culture.

### 3.4. Light-dependent growth

C. vulgaris growth was also examined in high-density cultures to determine the extent of its dependence on light. Under the conditions of the K-8 medium, a superficial gas velocity of 0.24 cm/s, an input level of 2.5%  $CO_2$  (v/v) and a peak light intensity of 850  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s, we exposed the cultures to varying duty cycles of flashing light (Fig. 4). In these experiments, the growth rate showed an approximately linear increase, paralleling the increase in average incident light intensity (Fig. 4). These results indicated that light intensity might be a limiting factor in raising high-density cultures and that optimizing the light supply might be an efficient means of achieving high biomass productivity and a profitable biomass yield on light. In the face of these positive indications, however, the biomass yield on input light actually decreased along with increases in the duty cycle, so that the highest biomass yield achieved was 1.32 gDCW/E at a 10% duty cycle, which in contrast produced the lowest growth rate, or 0.97 gDCW/L/day (Fig. 4). This outcome led us to examine further aspects of light intensity by applying different duty cycles with an average light intensity of  $170 \,\mu\text{E/m}^2/\text{s}$  (Fig. S6). In these instances, the biomass yield and growth rate turned out to be higher at a low duty cycle (20%) than a higher duty cycle



**Fig. 4.** Effect of varied light intensity on the growth rate of *C. vulgaris* in high-density cultures. The difference in average light intensity was obtained by applying the same peak light intensity (850  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s) at different duty cycles. The superficial velocity of the input gas was 0.24 cm/s, at a 2.5% (v/v) CO<sub>2</sub> level. The growth rate represents an average after 3 days culture, starting from an initial density of 2.52 gDCW/L. The results presented are averaged from three independent experiments. Error bars indicate SD.

(30%) (Fig. S6). These findings show how a lower duty cycle in the light supply can enhance energy conversion efficiency.

#### 3.5. Maximum biomass productivity

Having analyzed the key variables affecting *C. vulgaris* growth, we wanted to analyze further the maximum biomass productivity of the applicable system (i.e., a semi-continuous culture system with LED-based PBRs). To do this, the starting concentration of *C. vulgaris* was investigated as a key variable in the system. Starting concentration enters into the following equation for calculating biomass productivity:

$$P_{\rm b} = \frac{(C_t - C_0)}{\Delta t} = \frac{C_0 [\exp\left(\overline{\mu}\Delta t\right) - 1]}{\Delta t} \tag{9}$$

where  $P_{\rm b}$  is the volumetric biomass productivity,  $C_0$  is the biomass concentration at the starting point,  $C_t$  is the biomass concentration at time t,  $\Delta t$  is the total duration of the batch culture and  $\overline{\mu}$ is the average specific growth rate. This equation shows the relation of  $P_{\rm b}$  to the starting biomass concentration and the average specific growth rate. In an ideal case,  $\overline{\mu}$  would achieve the value of  $\mu_{\text{max}}$ , which would be a constant value for *C. vulgaris* (Fig. 3B), and biomass productivity would be proportional to initial cell density. In a contrasting non-ideal case,  $\overline{\mu}$  would be a function of incident light and initial cell density, provided that light supply was the sole limiting factor for optimal growth. Further analysis of the data presented in Fig. 5A indicated that the specific growth rate ( $\overline{\mu}$ ) decreased along with increases in the initial biomass concentration ( $C_0$ ) and that this was probably caused by a shortage of light. The peak growth rate apparently depended on a suitable initial biomass concentration, given light supplied at the same average intensity of 255  $\mu$ E/m<sup>2</sup>/s (Fig. 5A).

Higher light intensity, on the other hand, generated increased growth rates in these experiments. A 20% higher average intensity of incident light (around  $300 \,\mu E/m^2/s$ ) improved to some extent both the specific and the volumetric growth rate of *C. vulgaris*, using scaled-up PBRs (Fig. 5B). Under optimal conditions, the growth rate and related biomass yield reached 2.11 gDCW/L/day and 0.81 gDCW/E, respectively. These outcomes imply that providing intense illumination without photo-inhibition would be one potential way of achieving high biomass productivity, especially in improved cultures of *C. vulgaris*. Scaling up the approaches in this study remains an engineering challenge related to factors of light delivery and distribution and mass transfer.

## 4. Discussion

Microalgae are receiving considerable attention as bioprocessing organisms for a variety of applications (Sheridan, 2009; Sydney et al., 2010; Tang et al., 2011; Vertes et al., 2006; Wijffels and Barbosa, 2010). To a great extent, the economic viability of such bioprocesses for biomass and even biofuels production depends on biomass productivity and energy efficiency. This study has provided data on attempts to attain optimal performance in microalgae while using LED-based PBRs. The focus was on volumetric biomass productivity and the biomass yield on light. Firstly, we carried out ALE in order to improve the growth performance of C. vulgaris at a more economic wavelength, 660 nm. The results from our first study with microalgae ALE indicate that C. vulgaris is capable of adapting to different conditions, as has been observed with other organisms (Applebee et al., 2008; Babu and Aravind, 2006; Ibarra et al., 2002; Teusink et al., 2009), and manages to do so by altering its ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b. We identified the key bioreactor parameters affecting the performance of C. vulgaris at high growth rates and in dense cultures, and we inferred a



**Fig. 5.** Effect of different initial biomass concentrations on the growth of *C. vulgaris*. Each batch of cells was cultivated in the regime of one day. (A) The average incident light intensity was  $255 \,\mu\text{E/m}^2/\text{s}$  at a 30% duty cycle, while the input gas had a superficial velocity of  $0.24 \,\text{cm/s}$  and a CO<sub>2</sub> level of 2.5% (v/v). (B) The average incident light intensity was  $300 \,\mu\text{E/m}^2/\text{s}$  at a 25% duty cycle. The superficial velocity of the input gas was  $0.40 \,\text{cm/s}$  at  $2.5\% (v/v) \,\text{CO}_2$ . The working volume was  $500 \,\text{ml}$  in scaled-up PBRs (dimensions: height, ~60 cm; diameter, ~4 cm). The results presented are average values from either three (A) or two (B) independent experiments. Error bars indicate SD.

strategy for optimizing biomass productivity in phototrophic cultivation with LED-based PBRs. By designing the media stoichiometrically, we improved biomass productivity, attaining biomass concentrations of up to 20 gDCW/L. The relationship between input  $CO_2$  levels and specific growth rates was also determined, helping us to achieve optimal *C. vulgaris* growth in our system. Finally, solutions were found for optimizing PBR light supplies, thereby achieving a maximum biomass productivity of 2.11 gDCW/L/day, with a high biomass yield on light of 0.81 gDCW/E.

These performance figures provide grounds for discussing economic feasibility. For processes involving LED-based PBRs (Fig. S7), the basic capital expenditure consists roughly of the cost of the LEDs, bubble column tubes, and gas control equipment. While operating costs consist mainly of paying for the electricity consumed in supplying light, there are also minor costs connected to the medium and other materials needed for the growth process. In addition, there are varying costs of labors even if the whole system of production is well-developed and highly automatic and intelligent. Currently, red LEDs are rather expensive, with price quotes ranging from \$0.135/LED to \$0.27/LED for the LED types used in this research (prices quoted by LUMEX Inc.). Therefore, both capital expenditure and operating costs are dominated by the light supply. While considering possible PBR scale-ups, but above all how light delivery is the key factor limiting growth in a high-density culture, an optimal balance has to be found that weighs the growth rates resulting from a varying distribution and density of LED arrays with the overall objective of keeping the bioprocess cost-effective. Based on our results, light supply is estimated to cost between \$20 and \$30/kgDCW in 3.6 L PBRs (3.6 L working volume per PBR; see Supplementary Calculations), assuming that the usable LED lifetime will be between 35,000 and 60,000 h (the average lifetime quoted by LUMEX Inc.). Accordingly, our study implies that LEDbased PBRs may be economically feasible for the present situation in commercial production, especially if exploited to produce highend market goods that can significantly exceed the \$20-30/kgDCW cost involved in the sold product. Clearly, biofuels have a lowend unit value and cannot be produced economically through the approach under discussion. Emerging LED technology, which is bound to lower the cost of LEDs, lengthen their lifetime and further improve energy efficiency, will probably reduce lighting costs significantly.

Overall, the results of our study show that coupling microalgal biotechnology with LED-based PBR design can make it feasible to fix  $CO_2$  in biomass that is intended for high-quality products. Further improvements in biological performance and micro-electronics could bring significant gains in biomass productivity within a few years, thereby rendering an ever wider range of algae-derived products economical. Rapid progress in the microalgae industry is thus believed to be of significant importance for sustaining global growth through the coming decades.

#### **Authors' contributions**

W. F. wrote the manuscript and managed the experiments. O. G. built the PBRs, and calculated the aspects of capex and operating cost. G. H. designed the PBRs. A. M. F. contributed to editing and writing the manuscript. S. B. co-directed the study together with B. O. P., who also wrote the manuscript and conceived the study.

#### Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the Icelandic Technology Development Fund.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jbiotec.2012.07.004.

#### References

- Applebee, M.K., Herrgard, M.J., Palsson, B.O., 2008. Impact of individual mutations on increased fitness in adaptively evolved strains of *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Bacteriology 190, 5087–5094.
- Babu, M.M., Aravind, L., 2006. Adaptive evolution by optimizing expression levels in different environments. Trends in Microbiology 14, 11–14.
- Becker, E.W., 1994. Microalgae: Biotechnology and Microbiology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 56–62.
- Bibby, T.S., Nield, J., Barber, J., 2001. Iron deficiency induces the formation of an antenna ring around trimeric photosystem I in cyanobacteria. Nature 412, 743–745.
- Brennan, L., Owende, P., 2010. Biofuels from microalgae–a review of technologies for production, processing, and extractions of biofuels and co-products. Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews 14, 557–577.
- Chang, R.L., et al., 2011. Metabolic network reconstruction of *Chlamydomonas* offers insight into light-driven algal metabolism. Molecular Systems Biology 7, 518.
- Chisti, Y., 2008. Biodiesel from microalgae beats bioethanol. Trends in Biotechnology 26, 126–131.
- Chisti, Y., Moo-Young, M., 1989. On the calculation of shear rate and apparent viscosity in airlift and bubble column bioreactors. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 34, 1391–1392.
- Cuaresma, M., Janssen, M., Vilchez, C., Wijffels, R.H., 2009. Productivity of Chlorella sorokiniana in a short light-path (SLP) panel photobioreactor under high irradiance. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 104, 352–359.
- Gardner, R., Peters, P., Peyton, B., Cooksey, K.E., 2011. Medium pH and nitrate concentration effects on accumulation of triacylglycerol in two members of the chlorophyta. Journal of Applied Phycology 23, 1005–1016.
- Griffiths, M.J., Harrison, S.T.L., 2009. Lipid productivity as a key characteristic for choosing algal species for biodiesel production. Journal of Applied Phycology 21, 493–507.
- Gudin, C., Chaumont, D., 1991. Cell fragility-the key problem of microalgae mass production in closed photobioreactors. Bioresource Technology 38, 145–151.
- Ibarra, R.U., Edwards, J.S., Palsson, B.O., 2002. Escherichia coli K-12 undergoes adaptive evolution to achieve in silico predicted optimal growth. Nature 420, 186–189.
- Kay, R.A., 1991. Microalgae as food and supplement. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition 30, 555–573.
- Krames, M.R., et al., 2007. Status and future of high-power light-emitting diodes for solid-state lighting. The Journal of Display Technology 3, 160–175.
- Lee, C.G., Palsson, B.O., 1994. High-density algal photobioreactors using lightemitting diodes. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 44, 1161–1167.
- Lee, S.H., Kang, H.J., Lee, H.J., Kang, M.H., Park, Y.K., 2010. Six-week supplementation with *Chlorella* has favorable impact on antioxidant status in Korean male smokers. Nutrition 26, 175–183.
- Lorenz, R.T., Cysewski, G.R., 2003. Commercial potential for *Haematococcus* microalga as a natural source of astaxanthin. Trends in Biotechnology 18, 160–167.
- Maier, R.H., Cattani, R.A., 1965. Accumulation of iron in higher plant cell walls. Life Sciences 4, 391–395.
- Mandalam, R.K., Palsson, B.O., 1998. Elemental balancing of biomass and medium composition enhances growth capacity in high-density *Chlorella vulgaris* cultures. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 59, 605–611.
- Michels, M.H.A., van der Groot, A.J., Norsker, N.H., Wijffels, R.H., 2010. Effects of shear stress on the microalgae *Chaetoceros muelleri*. Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering 33, 921–927.
- Molina-Grima, E., Camacho, F.G., Perez, J.A.S., Fernandez, F.G.A., Sevilla, J.M.F., 1997. Evaluation of photosynthetic efficiency in microalgal cultures using averaged irradiance. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 21, 375–381.
- Palsson, B.O., 2011. Adaptive laboratory evolution. Microbe 6 (2), 69–74.
- Park, K.H., Lee, C.G., 2000. Optimization of algal photobioreactors using flashing lights. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering 5, 186–190.
- Park, K.H., Lee, C.G., 2001. Effectiveness of flashing light for increasing photosynthetic efficiency of microalgal cultures over a critical cell density. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering 6, 189–193.
- Pulz, O., Gross, W., 2004. Valuable products from biotechnology of microalgae. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology 65, 635–648.
- Rubio, F.C., Miron, A.S., Garcia, M.C.C., Camacho, F.G., Molina-Grima, E., et al., 2004. Mixing in bubble columns: a new approach for characterizing dispersion coefficients. Chemical Engineering Science 69, 4369–4376.
- Sheridan, C., 2009. Big oil bucks for algae. Nature Biotechnology 27, 783.
- Suh, I.S., Lee, C.G., 2003. Photobioreactor engineering: design and performance. Biotechnology and Bioprocess Engineering 8, 313–321.
- Sydney, E.B., Sturm, W., de Carvalho, J.C., Thomaz-Soccol, V., Larroche, C., et al., 2010. Potential carbon dioxide fixation by industrially important microalgae. Bioresource Technology 101, 5892–5896.

- Tang, H., Chen, M., Garcia, M.E.D., Abunasser, N., Ng, K.Y.S., Salley, S.O., 2011. Culture of microalgae *Chlorella minutissima* for biodiesel feedstock production. Biotechnology and Bioengineering 108, 2280–2287.
- Teusink, B., Wiersma, A., Jacobs, L., Notebaart, R.A., Smid, E.J., 2009. Understanding the adaptive growth strategy of *Lactobacillus plantarum* by in silico optimization. PLoS Computational Biology 5, e1000410, http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1000410.
- Ugwu, C.U., Aoyagi, H., Uchiyama, H., 2008. Photobioreactors for mass cultivation of algae. Bioresource Technology 99, 4021–4028.
- Vertes, A.A., Inui, M., Yukawa, H., 2006. Implementing biofuels on a global scale. Nature Biotechnology 24, 761–764.
- Wijffels, R.H., Barbosa, M.J., 2010. An outlook on microalgal biofuels. Science 329, 796.
- Yam, F.K., Hassan, Z., 2005. Innovative advances in LED technology. Microelectronics Journal 36, 129–137.
- Yeh, N., Chung, J.P., 2009. High-brightness LEDs-Energy efficient lighting sources and their potential in indoor plant cultivation. Renewable & Sustainable Energy 13, 2175–2180.