



**POLYTECHNIQUE
MONTREAL**

**TECHNOLOGICAL
UNIVERSITY**

INF[67]900E Lecture 2 – Reading Papers

Dr. Bentley James Oakes
bentleyjoakes.github.io

This Lecture

1. Types of papers
2. Where to find papers
3. Structure of a paper

Types of Papers



Two kinds of scientific publications

Peer-reviewed



Flickr/AJ Cann CC BY-SA

- Goes through rigorous review process
- Has reviewers and editors

Non-peer-reviewed



Rustemgurler / Getty Images

- No rigorous review process
- No reviewers

Peer-reviewed

- Workshops
- Conferences
- Journals

Non-peer-reviewed

- Preprints: ArXiv
- Social media: Medium, Quora, Twitter, LinkedIn
- Industry/government reports (“grey literature”)
- Patents

Special cases

- Extended abstracts / PhD colloquium reports
 - Reviewed, but isn’t an article
- Thesis
 - Reviewed, but not published
- Books/Book Chapters
 - Usually not peer-reviewed

Idea Maturity

- **Workshops**
 - New ideas/visions
 - Work in progress
 - 6-12 pages
- **Conferences**
 - Developed ideas
 - Evaluation required
 - 10-12 pages
- **Journals**
 - Polished ideas
 - Detailed evaluation
 - 20-40 pages
 - Long review time
- **Posters**
 - Work-in-progress or just to have something at a conference
- **PhD Colloquium**
 - Presenting of proposed research
- **Tutorials**
 - Hands-on teaching

ArXiv Pre-prints

Non-peer reviewed, may be done when submitted to a journal
Common in ML to have work seen faster, but can get messy

Total citations [Cited by 253](#)



Scholar articles

[Combinatorial optimization and reasoning with graph neural networks](#)

Q Cappart, D Chételat, EB Khalil, A Lodi, C Morris... - Journal of Machine Learning Research, 2023

[Cited by 249](#) [Related articles](#) [All 6 versions](#)

[Combinatorial optimization and reasoning with graph neural networks, 2021 *](#)

Q Cappart, D Chételat, E Khalil, A Lodi, C Morris... - arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.09544

[Cited by 6](#) [Related articles](#)

Where to Find Papers?



Check the library resources

<https://www.polymtl.ca/biblio/en/guides-tutorials>

GUIDES & TUTORIALS

- > **All Guides**
- > **Guides by Subject**
- > **Guides by Document Type**
- > **Citing your Sources / Avoiding Plagiarism**
- > **EndNote, BibTeX, Zotero : Managing Your References**
- > **Presenting an Academic Work in Engineering**
- > **Open Access Publishing**
- > **Publishing (Dissertations, Theses, Technical Reports)**
- > **Finding Scientific Articles**
- > **Promoting Your Research Activities**
- > **Video Tutorials**

Finding papers

- Conference/journal proceedings
- Google Scholar
- ArXiv
- Websites of professors/universities
- Research databases
- • Engineering Village Databases
- • Compendex / Web of Science
- • IEEE Xplore
- • Scopus
- • PubMed
- • JSTOR



Engineering Village

ACM DIGITAL LIBRARY Association for Computing Machinery

Journals Magazines Proceedings Books SIGs Conferences People

Conference Proceedings Upcoming Events Authors Affiliations Award Winners

Search ACM Digital Library

from diverse backgrounds, including researchers, academics, engineers, and industrial professionals.

Sections

MODELS '22: Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Model Driven Engineering ... 2022

← Previous Next →

Abstract

Proceeding Downloads

SESSION: Foundations

SESSION: Systems engineering & analysis

SESSION: Validation & verification

SESSION: AI & recommender systems

SESSION: Model visualization & management

SESSION: Blockchain & contracts

Proceeding Downloads

PDF Front matter (Title page, Contents, Sponsors, Preface, Organization) ↓

Select All

SESSION: Foundations

RESEARCH-ARTICLE Incremental causal connection for self-adaptive systems based on relational reference attribute grammars

René Schöne, Johannes Mey, Sebastian Ebert, Sebastian Götz, Uwe Afsmann

pp 1–12 • <https://doi.org/10.1145/3550355.3552460>

Even though model-driven engineering reduces complexity during the development of self-adaptive systems and models@run.time enables using them during runtime, connecting models to different external systems still involves manual work. Those connections ...

0 149

Get Access

Search Search History 0 Alerts 0 Selected records 0

Quick search: Subject/Title/Abstract



for

(digital twins OR digital twinning) AND machine learning



Finding Related Papers

- **Surveys/literature review**

[How to certify machine learning based safety-critical systems? A systematic literature review](#)

44

2022


F Tambon, G Laberge, L An, A Nikanjam, PSN Mindom, Y Pequignot, ...
Automated Software Engineering 29 (2), 38

- **References of papers (backwards snowballing)**

169. Pauli P, Koch A, Berberich J, Köhler P, Allgöwer F (2022) Training robust neural networks using lipschitz bounds. IEEE Control Systems Letters 6:121–126, DOI 10.1109/LCSYS.2021.3050444

- **Citing papers (forward snowballing)**

About 44 results (0.08 sec)

 My profile 

[How to certify machine learning based safety-critical systems? A systematic literature review](#)

Search within citing articles

- **Look at author's profiles / websites**

[Safe learning in robotics: From learning-based control to safe reinforcement learning](#)

[\[PDF\] annualreviews.org](#)
[Full View](#)

[L Brunke](#), [M Greeff](#), [AW Hall](#), [Z Yuan](#)... - Annual Review of ..., 2022 - annualreviews.org

The last half decade has seen a steep rise in the number of contributions on safe learning methods for real-world robotic deployments from both the control and reinforcement learning ...

☆ Save  Cite Cited by 359 Related articles All 7 versions

- **Go to workshops/conferences**

Automatic Recommendations

Google Scholar

Recommended articles



-  **Impulse dynamics and augmented reality for real-time interactive digital twin exploration and interrogation** 
M Cirelli, A Cellupica, P Canonico, PP Valentini
International Journal on Interactive Design and Manuf... - 4 days ago [HTML](#)

ResearchGate

Suggested research from **your network**







Peter Gorm Larsen
added an article



Survey on open-source digital twin frameworks–A case study approach

Article January 2024 · 42 Reads

Software Practice and Experience

 Santiago Gil ·  Peter H. Mikkelsen ·  Cláudio Gomes ·  Peter Gorm Larsen



[Download](#)

Save

Follow





Recommend

Share

Is this a good paper?

- Paper date
- Citation count
- Journal impact factor / conference ranking
- Institution of authors (?)



Title 	Acronym 	Source 	Rank 
Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education	ITiCSE	CORE2021	A
International Conference on Internet Computing in Science and Engineering	ICICSE	CORE2021	C
International Conference on Software Engineering	ICSE	CORE2021	A*
International Conference on Software Engineering Advances	ICSEA	CORE2021	C
International Conference on Systems Engineering	ICSEng	CORE2021	C

ACM Computing Surveys



2022 Impact Factor: 16.6 (ranked 3/111 in Computer Science Theory & Methods)

These comprehensive, readable surveys and tutorial papers give guided tours through the literature and explain topics to those who seek to learn the basics of areas outside their specialties in an accessible way. The carefully planned and presented introductions in *Computing Surveys (CSUR)* are also an excellent ... [\(More\)](#)

Editor-in-Chief:  [Albert Y H Zomaya](#)

Impact factor: Average citations per paper in last two years

Always be a critical reader!

Paper Structure





Anatomy of a Scientific Paper

Are All Apples Red?

by
Ida Cortland

Abstract:

We examined several apples' color. Although most are red, some are not.

Introduction:

An age-old question is: are all apples red? MacIntosh (1993) thought so. G. Smith (1999) begs to differ. We hope to resolve this issue once and for all.

Methods:

We went to the local grocery store and bought one of every apple they had. We took them home and looked at them.

Results:

We found four red apples, one green apple, and two yellow apples.




Figure 1

Discussion:

Since we found one yellow apple and two green apples, it must be true that all apples are not red. We concur with G. Smith's findings.


References:

- MacIntosh (1993) *Journal of Fruit Science*. 4(3): 121-135.
Smith, G. (1999) *Apple Technology Today*. 7(3):4-8.

Pomes and You, Volume 3, Issue 4 (2003) p. 8

Reading Approach

When reading, think about “*why am I reading this?*”



<https://alternativepostdoc.com/goal-oriented-reading-6-ways-to-read-a-research-papers-efficiently/>

How to Read a Paper

S. Keshav
David R. Cheriton School of Computer Science, University of Waterloo
Waterloo, ON, Canada
keshav@uwaterloo.ca

Keshav, S. (2007). How to read a paper. ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, 37(3), 83-84.

First Pass: Title, abstract, intro, section/sub-section headings, conclusion, skim references.

Think: Is this worth reading further?
What's the *category, context, correctness, contributions, clarity*?

Second Pass: Read the paper, but skip any proofs/technical parts.

Third Pass: ~~Recreate the work~~
Read again. Focus on technical parts. Think about any missing parts to the paper.

ABSTRACT

Researchers spend a great deal of time reading research papers. However, this skill is rarely taught, leading to much wasted effort. This article outlines a practical and efficient *three-pass method* for reading research papers. I also describe how to use this method to do a literature survey.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: A.1 [Introductory and Survey]

General Terms: Documentation.

Keywords: Paper, Reading, Hints.

1. INTRODUCTION

Researchers must read papers for several reasons: to review them for a conference or a class, to keep current in their field, or for a literature survey of a new field. A typical researcher will likely spend hundreds of hours every year reading papers.

Learning to efficiently read a paper is a critical but rarely taught skill. Beginning graduate students, therefore, must learn on their own using trial and error. Students waste much effort in the process and are frequently driven to frustration.

For many years I have used a simple approach to efficiently read papers. This paper describes the 'three-pass' approach and its use in doing a literature survey.

2. THE THREE-PASS APPROACH

The key idea is that you should read the paper in up to three passes, instead of starting at the beginning and plowing your way to the end. Each pass accomplishes specific goals and builds upon the previous pass: The *first* pass gives you a general idea about the paper. The *second* pass lets you grasp the paper's content, but not its details. The *third* pass helps you understand the paper in depth.

2.1 The first pass

The first pass is a quick scan to get a bird's-eye view of the paper. You can also decide whether you need to do any more passes. This pass should take about five to ten minutes and consists of the following steps:

1. Carefully read the title, abstract, and introduction
2. Read the section and sub-section headings, but ignore everything else
3. Read the conclusions

4. Glance over the references, mentally ticking off the ones you've already read

At the end of the first pass, you should be able to answer the *five Cs*:

1. *Category*: What type of paper is this? A measurement paper? An analysis of an existing system? A description of a research prototype?
2. *Context*: Which other papers is it related to? Which theoretical bases were used to analyze the problem?
3. *Correctness*: Do the assumptions appear to be valid?
4. *Contributions*: What are the paper's main contributions?
5. *Clarity*: Is the paper well written?

Using this information, you may choose not to read further. This could be because the paper doesn't interest you, or you don't know enough about the area to understand the paper, or that the authors make invalid assumptions. The first pass is adequate for papers that aren't in your research area, but may someday prove relevant.

Incidentally, when you write a paper, you can expect most reviewers (and readers) to make only one pass over it. Take care to choose coherent section and sub-section titles and to write concise and comprehensive abstracts. If a reviewer cannot understand the gist after one pass, the paper will likely be rejected; if a reader cannot understand the highlights of the paper after five minutes, the paper will likely never be read.

2.2 The second pass

In the second pass, read the paper with greater care, but ignore details such as proofs. It helps to jot down the key points, or to make comments in the margins, as you read.

1. Look carefully at the figures, diagrams and other illustrations in the paper. Pay special attention to graphs. Are the axes properly labeled? Are results shown with error bars, so that conclusions are statistically significant? Common mistakes like these will separate rushed, shoddy work from the truly excellent.
2. Remember to mark relevant unread references for further reading (this is a good way to learn more about the background of the paper).

Always have your 'Related Work' section in mind

This means you have to have a research idea in mind...

When reading a paper, think about:

- Do they have the same idea as me?
 - If so, look at their future work
 - If not, how is it different (put this in the related work section)
- Do I want to collaborate with the authors? What else have they done?
- Is my approach and results better or worse?
- Is their related work relevant for my idea? (add to related work)

Journaling

Constantly and consistently record:
summaries, insights, new ideas, references

Zettelkasten – Connected pages

The screenshot shows a dark-themed journaling application. On the left, there is a text entry with a link to 'Meditations on First Philosophy' and a quote from René Descartes: 'I think therefore I am'. Below the text is a list of references: 'Thinking, Fast and Slow', 'Books/', and 'The Thing', 'Movies/'. On the right, a network graph displays interconnected nodes. The nodes are labeled 'Books', 'René Descartes', and 'Philosophy'. The graph shows a complex web of connections between these and other unlabeled nodes, with some nodes highlighted in green.

Tools:

- Obsidian
- Zettlr


THE ZETTELKASTEN METHOD

Input → Digest → Organize → Outline/Output


Fleeting Notes: Take random notes as you go on about your day

Literature Notes: Take notes of something you're reading, listening to, watching, observing


Idea Notes: Review fleeting/lit. notes and write *one idea per note*



File Ideas: Find a place to save the new ideas amongst existing ideas, so you can find them again in the future




Reuse Ideas: Let ideas you've collected over time inspire your next essay



NIKLAS' GOAL: To facilitate ongoing conversations with himself over time.

<https://feeei.substack.com/p/the-dirty-lil-secret-about-my-note>



THANK YOU!

Topics covered:

- Types of papers
- Where to find papers
- Paper structure
- Journaling



**POLYTECHNIQUE
MONTREAL**

TECHNOLOGICAL
UNIVERSITY

Bentley.Oakes@polymtl.ca

[https://
bentleyoakes.github.io/](https://bentleyoakes.github.io/)