

#### **MPS Simulation Using ERGO Database**

### Phase # 1: Unconditional 2D Models

HASSAN REZAEE, DENIS MARCOTTE polytechnique montréal, montréal, canada

PHILIPPE DOYEN vp r&d - seismic reservoir characterization, cgg



#### Contents

- Introduction
- Training Images (Channels, Carbonates, Fractures)
- Unconditional 2D simulations
- Simulations Using Rotation and Affinity
- Validations
- Conclusions





## Contents

#### Introduction

- Training Images (Channels, Carbonates, Fractures)
- Unconditional 2D simulations
- Simulations Using Rotation and Affinity
- Validations
- Conclusions





### Patch-based multiple-point geostatistics

- Better continuity
- Less CPU

GG

• Less conditioning flexibilities

Non-simulated

Previously simulated



Data event to search TI



From Rezaee et al., 2013





# Alternative Training Images (ATIs)

- Should not be a mere copy
- Should keep its fidelity to Original TI





Alternative TI







- Introduction
- Training Images (Channels, Carbonates, Fractures)
- Unconditional 2D simulations
- Simulations Using Rotation and Affinity
- Validations
- Conclusions





## TI1&2

#### Schooner Cays Carbonates, Bahamas



#### Tista Fan, Bangladesh







### TI#3&4

#### Curvilinear Channel











![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Training Images (Channels, Carbonates, Fractures)
- Unconditional 2D simulations
- Simulations Using Rotation and Affinity
- Validations
- Conclusions

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### TI#1, Simulation Using Continuous Data

Training Image

![](_page_10_Picture_3.jpeg)

500x700

Simulation

![](_page_10_Figure_6.jpeg)

500x700

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

800x800

800x800

2000x2000

**CGG** 

POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉAL

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### TI#2-Sample Simulations-SNESIM Model

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### TI#2-Sample Simulations-Our Model

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

### TI#3, Sample Simulations

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

### TI#4, Simulation Using Continuous Data

800x800

Simulation

1500x1500

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## TI#4, Sample Simulation

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Training Images (Channels, Carbonates, Fractures)
- Unconditional 2D simulations
- Simulations Using Rotation and Affinity
- Validations
- Conclusions

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Rotation and Affinity**

#### Original Data event

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Affined Data event

![](_page_18_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Rotated Data event

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

**CGG** 

#### From Caers and Zhang, 2004

#### Secondary Variables, Rotation

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉAL

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

1600x1600

![](_page_20_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Secondary Variables, Affinity

POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉAL WORLD-CLASS ENGINEERING

One sample simulation

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

1600x1600

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Secondary Variables, Rotation

24/30

POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉAL

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Introduction
- Training Images (Channels, Carbonates, Fractures)
- Unconditional 2D simulations
- Simulations Using Affinity and Rotation
- Validation
- Conclusions

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Validation

#### Transition Probability in Simulation vs. TI

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

# Validation, TI#1

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Validation, TI#1

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **CPU** Time

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### #points in TI: 0.35 million

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Conclusions

- Reproduction of texture and structure as in TI
- Simulations using limited databases
- Computational Efficiency
- Simulations using both continuous and categorical data

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### POLYTECHNIQUE Montréal

#### WORLD-CLASS ENGINEERING

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

# THANKS

# EXTRAS

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### TI#2

#### Interpreted Image

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### Training Image

![](_page_32_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

## TI#1, Multi-Facies, Sample Simulations

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

400x400

400x400

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

### TI#1, Multi-Facies, Sample Simulation, Large Grid

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

400x400

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

1000x1000

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

# TI#1&4, Extra Simulations

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Validation, TI#1

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Validation, TI#1

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)